Senior Care Task Force, Working Group A

Recommendation Characterization Rubric

Recommendation:	
Rationale: *[How does this recommendation contribute to the well-being of seniors living in Kansas?]*	
Ease of Implementation *(Score 1-10):*	**Potential for High Impact** *(Score 1-10):*
Consider: ☐Change, (Easiest) ☐Pilot, ☐Overhaul, ☐New, (Most difficult) Will cost be a barrier to implementation? Does the recommendation include strategies for continuity? *(How does it consider sustainability?)* Which of the following mechanisms may affect the achievability of the recommendation? ☐ Legislative session ☐ Federal approval process ☐ Regulatory process ☐ Contracts ☐ Agency budget development ☐ Grant cycles ☐ Systems (e.g., IT) ☐ Technology/Infrastructure	Consider: Will it benefit seniors living in Kansas? ☐Yes ☐ No Will it significantly impact subpopulations? ☐Individuals with Alzheimer's ☐Geography (urban, rural, frontier) ☐Low-income individuals ☐Uninsured or Underinsured individuals ☐Individuals with [Acute] Behavioral Healthcare Needs ☐Individuals with I/DD or PD ☐Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons ☐Others? *(List here)* Does it serve those who have been disproportionately impacted by the issue? *(Does it address inequities?)* Could the recommendation produce savings in other areas?
Action Lead: *[Who takes point on this recommendation?]*	**Key Collaborators:** *[Who should be included as decisions are made about how to implement this recommendation?]*
Key Performance Indicators: *[How can the state assess progress when this recommendation is implemented?]*	
Intensity of Consensus: *[Does it align with vision statement of "Older Kansans will have access and the ability to choose and receive high-quality, person-centered services wherever they reside." To be addressed during final review.]*	